

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,127	07/29/2003	Chris E. Barns	ITL.1016US (P16703)	ITL.1016US (P16703) 5928	
21906	7590 10/06/2004	EXAMINER			
	NER & HU, PC	DUONG, KHANH B			
8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822	THE EN WORDER	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\Delta$
B
v

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/629,127	BARNS ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh Duong	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	·			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:	•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ———	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		_			
10. Other:		7h			
<u> </u>					
	¥!. /	AMIR ZARABIAN			
	SUPERI	ASORY PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/629,127

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lee expressly discloses in FIGs. 5 and 6 the presence of mask 26 during the silicidation process also contributed to the preventing of silicide formation on the first polysilicon gate structure 10 (see FIG. 5 and 6). In addition, the claimed limitations do not preclude layer 12 on both sides of the first polysilicon gate structure 10 as being interpreted as a sidewall spacer .